

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/827,788	04/09/2001		Khai Hee Kwan	•	8886	
23336	7590	02/03/2006		EXAMINER		
KHAI HEE			RHODE JR, ROBERT E			
315 AVOCA RANDWIC		I		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
AUSTRALIA				3625		

DATE MAILED: 02/03/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/827,788	KWAN, KHAI HEE		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Rob Rhode	3625		

Before the Filling of all Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit							
	Rob Rhode	3625							
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress						
THE REPLY FILED 20 January 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS									
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follor places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	Appeal. To avoid abaidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.								
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.								
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).									
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	ctension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig to than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as						
NOTICE OF APPEAL	alianas with 27 CED 44 27 must be	filed within two month	as of the data of						
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).									
AMENDMENTS									
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further common terms. 			ecause						
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below		TE below),							
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying	the issues for						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))		ected claims.							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).						
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):									
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 	•								
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wi ovided below or appended.	II be entered and an o	explanation of						
Claim(s) allowed:									
Claim(s) objected to:									
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:									
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE									
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).									
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa 	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a						
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attac	hed.						
The request for reconsideration has been considered b See Continuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:						
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	Vo(s),	•						
13. ☐ Other: See Continuation Sheet.	Jekrey (Primary	A Smith Examiner							
	A minor)								

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Applicant's arguments regarding the 35 USC 112, 2nd arguemnts were not persuasive. As noted in the Final Rejection, the applicant cites a centralized payment processor and service provider and then further recites receiving, establishing, sending and authenticating. However, it is not at all clear who is doing the receiving, establishing, sending and authenticating. Therefore, determining the metes and bounds of the claims is almost impossible. For examination purposes, the service provided is equated to billing platform and the steps of extending, establishing, sending, an ISP with an authentication service performs authenticating. Thereby and as noted, ISP (Internet Service Provider) reads on Telecommunication Service Provider. Finally, the focus for examination are the claims, which define the metes and bounds of the invention.

Continuation of 13. Other: Affidavit under 132 does not provide grounds for traversal of the rejections to which the Exhibits supplied are intended to support. Moreover, it is unclear how the exhibits are specifically relevant to the subject matter as claimed. See MPEP 716.